THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

W.P. No. 10090 of 2005

Oral order:

Assailing the action of respondent Nos. 4 and 5 in not permitting her to join duty as Supervisor in pursuance of the provisional appointment order given to her the petitioner filed the present writ petition.

The petitioner claims that she was appointed as Supervisor on contract basis is for a period of one year from 20.09.2003, and it is her case that though she was appointed, respondent Nos. 4 and 5 have not permitted her to join duty. She further submits that after expiry of one year period, the respondents extended the contract period by another one year from 1.10.2004, however, they have not permitted her to join duty.

Since the period of contract period is for one year, and the extended period of one year had also expired on 30.09.2005, nothing survives for adjudication in the writ petition, and the same is accordingly dismissed as having become infructuous. No costs.

_____

N.V. RAMANA, J.

Dated: 17th April, 2007.
KSR